

1225 South McDuffie Anderson, SC 29624

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 99 Students

PrincipalMrs. Katie Brown864-260-5538SuperintendentBetty Bagley864-260-5000Board ChairRick Bradshaw864-224-5502

2013 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD						
YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING				
2013	N/A	N/A				
2012	N/A	N/A				
2011	N/A	N/A				
2010	N/A	N/A				
2000	NI/A	NI/A				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS					
Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk					
13	11	12	0	1	

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 12/14/2013.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students						
	Our	High Sch	nool		Schools ents Like	
Percent	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013
Passed 2 subtests (%)	N/A	N/A	37.5%	74.0%	75.5%	76.2%
Passed 1 subtest (%)	N/A	N/A	37.5%	15.3%	14.3%	14.2%
Passed no subtests (%)	N/A	N/A	25.0%	11.4%	10.9%	10.8%

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2013						
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours				
Percent	N/A	90.5%				

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate					
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours	
	2012*	2013	2012	2013	
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	N/A	52	N/A	202	
Number of Graduates in Cohort	N/A	26	N/A	147	
Rate	N/A	50.0%	N/A	74.7%	

^{*}Used to calculate current ESEA/Federal Accountability Grade.

Five-Year Graduation Rate						
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours		
	2012	2013	2012	2013		
Number of Students in Cohort	N/A	6	N/A	218		
Number of Graduates in Cohort	N/A	2	N/A	159		
Rate	N/A	33.3%	N/A	74.9%		

End of Course Tests						
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*				
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2		72.1%				
English 1		65.2%				
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2		72.3%				
US History and the Constitution	0.0%	47.9%				
All Tests	0.0%	62.0%				

^{*} High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

School Profile

	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n=99)				
Retention rate	0.0%	N/A	3.6%	2.9%
Attendance rate	96.1%	N/A	95.4%	95.1%
Served by gifted and talented program	2.0%	N/A	15.8%	17.5%
With disabilities	17.0%	N/A	12.8%	11.9%
Older than usual for grade	17.0%	N/A	9.3%	7.9%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	2.0%	N/R	1.2%	1.1%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	N/R	10.1%	15.1%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	39.5%	51.5%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	0.0%	N/R	30.5%	30.6%
Annual dropout rate	1.0%	N/A	2.6%	2.3%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A	16.6%	7.2%
Enrollment in career/technology courses	13	N/A	353	418
Students participating in work-based experiences	N/A	N/A	21.5%	14.6%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills	66.7%	N/A	84.4%	84.8%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	95.7%	98.3%
Teachers (n=3)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	N/A	N/A	60.7%	63.4%
Continuing contract teachers	N/A	N/A	79.3%	78.8%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	84.0%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	96.8%	N/R	95.2%	95.2%
Average teacher salary*	I/S	I/S	\$48,207	\$48,699
Professional development days/teacher	3.6 days	N/R	10.0 days	9.9 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	N/R	3.5	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	N/R	N/R	26.9 to 1	26.9 to 1
Prime instructional time	90.5%	N/R	89.0%	89.0%
Dollars spent per pupil**	N/A	N/A	\$8,132	\$7,919
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	N/A	N/A	56.0%	57.0%
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	N/A	N/A	59.0%	60.0%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	N/R	Good	Excellent
SACS accreditation	Yes	N/R	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	N/R	97.8%	97.7%
Character development program	Good	N/R	Good	Good
Modern language program assessment	N/A	N/A	12.0	11.0
Classical language program assessment	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.0

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups

		HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2013		End of Course Tests Passage Rate		On-time Graduation Rate, 2013	
	n	%	t	%	n	%	
All Students	N/A	N/A	6	0.0%	52	50.0%	
Gender							
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	32	43.8%	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	60.0%	
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	57.1%	
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	23	43.5%	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status							
Disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	23	39.1%	

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	4	27	9			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	N/A	96.3%	N/A			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	N/A	96.3%	N/A			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	N/A	N/A	N/A			

^{*} Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2013, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	N/A	
Overall Grade Conversion	1	

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Accountability	Indicator for	Title I	Schools
----------------	---------------	---------	---------

Anderson Five Charter School school has been designated as a:

	Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
	Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
	Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
	Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
	Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
\checkmark	Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality Data							
		Our Distric	t State				
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qu	1.8%	2.3%					
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qu	0.0%	4.9%					
	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective				
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	0.0%	0.0%	Yes				

Two-Year HSAP 1	rend Data	1						
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*
English/Language Arts								
All Ctudente	2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
All Students	2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Mathematics								
All Students	2012	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
All Students	2013	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A